Comparing Deterministic and Geostatistical Methods in Spatial Distribution Study of Soil Physical and Chemical Properties in Arid Rangelands (Case Study: Masileh Plain, Qom, Iran)
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Abstract. Accurate knowledge of spatial distribution of soil physical and chemical properties is needed for suitable management and proper use of rangelands in Masileh plain, Qom, Iran. In present study, for the spatial modeling of chemical and physical parameters such as sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), soluble potassium (K), magnesium (Mg), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Saturation Percentage (SP%), silt, clay and sand percent, 49 soil samples were collected from a depth of 0-30 cm of soil surface in a systematic pattern with 1030 m interval and then, they were compared using deterministic methods (radial basis function, inverse distance weighting and local polynomial interpolation) and geostatistical methods (ordinary, universal and disjunctive kriging, and Cokriging). First normality of data was test using Kolmogorov-Smirnov method. Log transformation was used for non-normal data and finally, spatial structure of the data was determined. Then, cross variogram of parameters was calculated by variography analysis. Then, results were evaluated by MBE and MAE calculation for the predicted and observed data. The results demonstrated that geostatistical methods lead to notable findings rather than deterministic ones. According to the results, the best method for modeling calcium and electrical conductivity parameters was Cokriging method while the best method for saturation percent, magnesium, sodium and silt as well as clay percent parameters was disjunctive kriging. Moreover, ordinary kriging method was suitable for the zonation of potassium and sand percent.
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Introduction

Identifying, planning, proper management and use of natural resources need a serious attention to ecosystem components. In order to study the sustainable use of rangelands, it is necessary to recognize water, soil and vegetation and analyze their relationships. Some soil factors (physical, chemical and biological), humidity and temperature are necessary for the optimum plant growth (Rezaipoorbaghedar et al., 2011). Planning for the use of rangeland ecosystems, particularly in fragile condition rangelands in the arid regions is impossible regardless of soil properties. So, the importance of having accurate information on the soil spatial distributing properties is obvious (Sokoti Oskoei et al., 2006). There are different methods for estimating the spatial distribution of data such as arithmetic mean, Thiessen and hypsometric methods (Walter et al., 2001). Although these methods are quick and easy to use, they have disadvantages and difficulties which sometimes cause to less accurate and unacceptable results. On the other hand, classical statistical analyzes are based on the independence of samples from one another and one sample cannot offer any information about the next sample (Einax and Soldt, 1999). Problems of mentioned methods lead to introduce the geostatistical methods (Sokoti Oskoei et al., 2006). Geostatistics is a technique to identify the systematic changes in the parts of natural materials such as soil. Similarity of quantitative parameters of soil in small areas is higher than distant places and this subject is considered in large scale and small scale mapping and validation of soil parameters (Habashi et al., 2007). Azimzadeh et al. (2006) applied kriging method to estimate the percentage of desert pavement and wind erosion threshold velocity in Ebrahim Abad district, Mehriz province, Iran. They demonstrated that geostatistics and ordinary kriging methods were convenient and accurate methods for mapping important parameters in wind erosion like erosion threshold velocity and REG cover distribution. Cerri et al. (2004) used geostatistical method for soil characterization in order to choose the suitable areas of pasture in the Amazon basin in Brazil. Duffera et al. (2006) investigated spatial distribution of soil properties by combining two variogram and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) models and concluded that soil characteristics can be divided into two categories. The first group of characteristics is associated with soil unit maps such as soil texture and the second one is not associated with soil units such as soil porosity. Robinson and Metternicht (2006) used ordinary, log-normal ordinary and inverse distance weighting kriging methods for the interpolation of soil properties that affect yield productions in a region of Australia and reached to some acceptable results. Rodriguez et al. (2007) in south of Madrid in Spain studied spatial variations of soil erodibility index parameters using geostatistical methods and prepared the erosion map of the study area. In another study, Mohammad Zamani et al. (2007) using geostatistical methods for the evaluation of spatial changes of soil properties in the agricultural lands of Sorkhankalateh in Golestan province, Iran stated that variography analysis and kriging method can be used as powerful tools in providing soil sampling strategy. Tavares et al. (2008) used geostatistical methods of ordinary kriging, indicator kriging and Cokriging in addition to Landsat TM images as a covariate in order to map the affected, non-infected and suspected areas by heavy and toxic metals.

Zhang et al. (2007) in their study on soil of North East of China in order to determine the spatial variability of soil nutrients such as organic matter, available nitrogen, soluble phosphorus
and available potassium using kriging interpolation method found that except available nitrogen, this method was acceptable for the other parameters. Because of such limitations as time and cost problems in most of environmental studies, sampling points of soil properties are limited. For better conception about the phenomenon which leads to better planning for the resource management, use of statistical models is needed for the estimation of parameters. Variography analysis can show important information about the spatial distribution patterns and quantitative values of the parameters (Mohamad Asghari, 2008).

The objective of this study was to develop spatial variability modeling of soil physical and chemical properties using geostatistical and deterministic methods in Arid Rangelands of Masileh Plain, Qom, Iran. Also, spatial variability mapping of soil properties and its application in the restoration of degraded rangelands by the evaluation of soil properties changes were considered.

Materials and Methods

Study area

Masileh plain with an area of 50 km² is located in Qom Salt Lake watershed that lies from 51° 12' 20" to 51° 25' 00" E and 34° 40' 45" to 35° 00' 00" N and its mean altitude is 814 m above sea level. Its mean annual rainfall and temperature are 168 mm and 18.2°C, respectively. The climate of region using the modified DeMarton classification is cold and hyper-arid with 45% annual relative humidity. The study area is a winter pasture in poor conditions with vegetation cover including Salsola rigida, Seidlitzia rosmarinus, and Haloxylon spp.

Spatial structure of data

In this study, 49 sampling points with 1030 m interval were selected using GIS software. Coordinates of these points were determined by field survey using a GPS instrument. Then, soil samples were gathered from 0-30 cm depth of surface soil. The EC, soluble Ca, Mg, Na and K, saturation percent (SP%), clay (%), silt (%) and sand (%) parameters were measured for each soil sample. After that, the Kolmogorov - Smirnov test was used for normality of data and log transformation was used for non-normal data and finally, spatial structure of the data was determined. Semi-variogram describes the spatial continuity of a variable. Spatial continuity means the adjacent samples that are dependent to each other in a given distance and it assumes that this dependency among samples can be presented by a mathematical model as variogram. In a variogram, the sum of squared differences between two points with h distance from each other is calculated and plotted against h as shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Outline of a variogram (Mohamad Asghari, 2008)](image-url)
In this research, some of geostatistical methods (ordinary, universal and disjunctive kriging and Cokriging) were compared with deterministic methods (radial basis function, inverse distance weighting and local polynomial interpolation). So, a brief explanation of these methods has been presented as below:

**Geostatistical methods**

**Kriging method**

Kriging method (Srivastava, 2013) is an estimator which considers the values of a variable in the un-sampled points as a linear combination of values in the search radius of that variable and for the estimation of unknown points, a weight was assigned for each sample.

**Ordinary kriging**

This method assumes that the data set has a stationary variance but a non-stationary variance means a value in the search radius.

**Universal kriging**

This method is applied in a situation that both parts of variability (deterministic and stochastic) simultaneously exist in the spatial structure of variable region.

**Disjunctive kriging**

A nonlinear estimator is applied where the data distribution is complicated and they cannot fit by the usual statistical distributions (normal or log-normal).

**Cokriging**

Cokriging estimator is the developed kriging with respect to secondary variables.

**Deterministic methods**

**Radial basis function method**

A Radial Basis Function (RBF) is a real-valued function whose value depends only on the distance from the origin so that on the distance from some other point c is called a center so that. Any function \( \phi \) that satisfies the property is a radial function. The norm is usually Euclidean distance although other distance functions are also possible (Lukaszyk, 2004).

**Inverse distance weighting method**

Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) interpolation is one of the most commonly used deterministic interpolation methods. An IDW function generates the interpolated surface by assigning values to the unknown points from a weighted sum of values of known points (Shepard, 1968).

**Local polynomial interpolation method**

Local Polynomial (LP) interpolation fits many polynomials, each in the specified overlapping neighborhoods. The neighborhood can be defined using the Search Neighborhood dialog box. The shape, maximum and minimum number of points to use, and the sector configuration can be specified or a slider can be used to define the width of the neighborhood with a power parameter that will decrease the weights of sample points based on distance in the neighborhood. So, LP interpolation produces surfaces that explain more local variation. This method has more flexibility than Global Polynomial interpolation (Williams, 2010).

**Validation of model and variogram**

The performance of methods was evaluated using Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Biased Error (MBE) as below (Equations 1 & 2):

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{z}(x) - z(x)| \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

\[
MBE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z(x) - (\hat{z}(x) - z(x)) \quad \text{(Equation 2)}
\]

Where, \( \hat{z}(x) \) is the estimated value at \( x \) point and \( n \) is the number of samples. MAE and MBE values indicate the bias which should be zero in the ideal case while positive or negative values mean higher or lower estimation than the actual value (Wakernagel, 2003). MAE represents the method accuracy and average error, which is much better to be closer to zero and MBE represents the mean Standard Deviation (SD) between the estimated and observed values and lower MBE means more accuracy.
(Kravchenko and Bullock, 1999). Isaaks and Serivastava (1989) suggested that MAE can be used as a measure which includes bias and precision characteristics to compare the accuracy of methods. Also, relative Nugget effect can be used to evaluate the spatial structure of data. When this parameter is less than 0.25, spatial structure of variable of interest is strong; a range of 0.25-0.75 shows an average spatial structure and more than 0.75 represents a weak spatial structure (Chien et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2006).

**Results**

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed that Ca, K, Mg, EC of saturation extract and silt% were not normal. Therefore, they were normalized using log transformation method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Results of variography analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (ds/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Results of cross variogram analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na (meq/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (ds/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of different methods of estimating and variograms of parameters in this study were presented in Table 3. Finally, according to the MAE and MBE, map of the best estimation model for each parameter was drawn (Figs. 11-19).
### Table 3. Results of evaluation of geostatistics and deterministic methods using mean absolute error (MAE) and mean biased error (MBE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geostatistics and Deterministic methods</th>
<th>K_Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Sand%</th>
<th>Silt%</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Clay%</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary kriging</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>40.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal kriging</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>39.52</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disjunctive kriging</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cokriging</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Polynomial Interpolation</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>41.92</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>7.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Distance Weighting method</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>11.94</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial Basis Function method</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>11.34</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>42.02</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 11. Spatial distribution of clay

Fig. 12. Spatial distribution of soluble Ca

Fig. 13. Spatial distribution of EC

Fig. 14. Spatial distribution of soluble Mg

Fig. 15. Spatial distribution of soluble K

Fig. 16. Spatial distribution of soluble Na
Discussion
Because vegetation cover of the study area was limited to some halophyte species and litter percent was about zero, it assumed that soil organic matter is inconsiderable; so, this parameter is not evaluated. In other parameters, differences of variogram models were related to the assigned weights to adjacent and distant samples and had a large effect on precision of estimations. Spherical model was suitable for Ca, SP, EC and silt% and exponential model for the other parameters. Rise of Nugget effect causes the rise of variance or estimation error which was observed in Ca and Mg parameters. Fairly low values of MAE and MBE mean high accuracy of Cokriging method for Ca and EC, Disjunctive kriging for SP, Na, Mg, silt and clay percent and ordinary kriging for the zonation of K and sand percent. This study represents the priority of geostatistical methods over deterministic
methods in zoning physical and chemical properties of soil in the study area which is in accordance with the studies of Azimzadeh (2006), Robinson and Metternicht (2006), Mohammad Zamani et al. (2007) and Zhang et al. (2007). Fitness of Cokriging method for Ca and EC indicates that these two parameters had a significant relationship with their covariates, Mg and Na, respectively. The purpose of present study was to map soil properties for future studies and monitoring soil properties based on results of this study in order to avoid costly studies. So, there is no need to soil sampling for those parameters that had the highest accuracy in Cokriging method and their covariate can be used instead of them as presented in Table 2.

It was concluded that geostatistical methods lead to notable findings rather than deterministic methods. Also, Cokriging was the best method for modeling Ca and EC parameters, disjunctive kriging for SP%, Mg, Na, silt and clay percent parameters and ordinary kriging was suitable for the zonation of K and sand percent parameters. So, one of the main characteristics of arid rangelands is saline soils and vegetation distributions in these soils are correlated to soil properties; therefore, study of vegetation changes with soil characteristics is essential for management and restoration of arid rangelands.
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مقایسه روش‌های معین با روش‌های زمین آماری در بررسی پراکنش مکانی برخی خصوصیات فیزیکی و شیمیایی خاک در مراتع مناطق خشک ایران (مطالعه موردی: دشت مسیله، استان قم)
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چکیده. به منظور مدیریت و بهره‌برداری بهینه مراتع، اگاهی صحیح از پراکنش مکانی خصوصیات فیزیکی و شیمیایی خاک مهم به نظر می‌رسد. در این تحقیق برای مدلسازی مکانی پارامترهای فیزیکی و شیمیایی خاک در دشت مسیله نقش از قبل سدیم، کلسیم، پتاسیم و منیزیم مخلوط، هیدرایکلریک (EC)، درصد اشباع (SP%), درصد سیلت، رس و شن خاک، نمونه‌برداری از عمق 0-30 سانتی‌متری سطح خاک به تعداد 49 نمونه با ولولیت نمونه‌برداری منظم با فواصل 200-300 متر از هم، انجام شد و سپس به کمک روش‌های معین (تابع شعاعی، میانگین متحرک وزن‌دار و تخمین موضی) و زمین آماری (کریجینگ معنولی، جامع، گسترش و کوکریجینگ) با هم‌دبیر مقایسه شدند. در ابتدای نرم‌ال‌شریان به استفاده از آزمون کولموگوروف-اسمیرنوف بررسی گردید و در نهایت بر روی تبدیل لگاریتمی نرمال شدند. سپس آنانی که کارگری مرتب و مشاهده شده، انجام گرفت. نتایج نشان داد که برای مقادیر مورد انتظار و مشاهده شده روش‌های معین دارند و بهترین روش مدلسازی برای پارامترهای کلسیم و هیدرایکلریک (EC)، روش کوکریجینگ، برای پارامترهای درصد اشباع، منیزیم، سدیم، درصد سیلت و رس، روش کریجینگ گسترش و برای پهنای نی‌پارامترهای پتاسیم و درصد شن، روش کریجینگ معنولی می‌باشد.

کلمات کلیدی: زمین آمار، خصوصیات خاک، واریوگرام، دشت مسیله، ایران