Soil Organic Carbon Content and Stocks in Relation to Grazing Management in Semi-Arid Grasslands of Kenya
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Abstract. Rangelands cover approximately 85\% of Kenya’s land mass and is a major resource for livestock farming with a considerable potential to mitigate climate change, yet these lands are stressed differently by various management. Our study aimed at determining the influence of grazing management systems (rotational, continuous and ungrazed) on soil organic carbon stocks in Yoani ranch located in the southern rangelands of Kenya (2016). This research was conducted on a commercial grazing ranch, a section of it was converted from continuous grazing into rotational grazing and has been under rotational grazing for 11 years during the time the research was conducted. Within the same ranch, there was a section with similar geomorphology and soils as the rotationally grazed which was not converted and has been continuously grazed for over 30 years to represent the continuous grazing system. The ungrazed area consists of an abandon section of the ranch for more than 30 years due to a deep gully which was formed by gully erosion creating an isolated area inaccessible by livestock. Soil samples were taken up to a depth of 1.2m, at an interval of 0-10, 10-20 cm, 20-30 cm, 30-60 cm, 60-90 cm and 90-120 cm. The difference between soil sampling depths is because the upper layer between 0-30 cm is more dynamic with respect to soil microbial activities which can be influenced by grazing as compared to the deeper depths along the soil profile. The ungrazed site recorded significantly (P<0.5) higher soil organic carbon concentrations than rotational and continuously grazed sites for all soil layers up to 1.2m depth. The rotationally grazed site had higher soil organic carbon concentrations across depths compared to continuously grazing system which was attributed to grazing management effects.
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Introduction

Rangelands are important ecosystems that occupy approximately than half of the earth’s terrestrial surface and are characterised by low-stature vegetation, owing to temperature and moisture restrictions (Brown & Thorpe, 2008). On global scale, rangelands provide up to 70% of the forage for livestock and contribute to the livelihoods of more than 800 million people (Brown & Thorpe, 2008; J. Derner & Schuman, 2007). Rangelands also provide essential ecosystem services. Among the most important of these services are climate regulation, the ability to sequester carbon as well as the mitigation of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (Briske et al., 2008; Brown & Thorpe, 2008).

Africa’s rangelands cover about 43% of its landmass and are characterized as woodlands, Shrublands and/or grasslands (Hoffman & Vogel, 2008). In Kenya, rangelands occupy more than three quarters of land and the primary users of these are pastoral communities whom practice extensive grazing. Besides, a smaller number of agro-pastoralists and commercial farms exist. Livestock production in these areas has gained importance due to the increasing human population and hence causing increased food demand. Consequently, this has led to and increased grazing pressure in most of the rangeland areas. Forage quality and quantity are determinants of rangeland’s sustainability and profitability (Briske et al., 2008). However, rangelands have experienced soils and vegetation degradation due to overgrazing, climate change and plant invasions (Asner et al., 2004; DeLonge et al., 2014; Manjarrez-Dominguez et al., 2015). Thus, management practices that will favour plant production and hence livestock productivity also have considerable potential to restore or even increase grassland soil carbon (C) storage and provide a potential positive feedback on the global C cycle (J. Derner & Schuman, 2007; Follett & Reed, 2010; Pete Smith et al., 2010).

Currently, there is widespread interest in harnessing the large soil carbon sequestration potential of rangelands to offset global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, due to their vastness, history of degradation, and potential for improved management. Their aptitude for soil C storage is estimated to be in a similar order of magnitude as that of croplands and forests (Pete Smith et al., 2008).

Grazing directly affects the structure and function of plant communities through selective plant removal (HilleRisLambers et al., 2010; Huntsinger et al., 2007), defoliation, and changing the amount and composition of residual biomass (Bartolome & McClaran, 1992; Dudney et al., 2016; Ford, 2015; Tastad, 2013). The amount of defoliation also affects subsequent forage production by changing light competition and residual biomass. With the change in vegetation cover caused by grazing, soil moisture decreases and temperature increases (Asner et al., 2004; Bremer et al., 2001), which can result in more decomposition at the soil surface and less transfer of plant litter into the soil organic matter pool.

The impact of grazing management on the soil biogeochemical approaches that regulate rangeland carbon dynamics isn’t always nicely understood due to heterogeneity in grassland sorts. (Bakker et al., 2006) evaluated 34 information units to examine soil carbon of grazed and ungrazed areas and found that about 40% of these results indicate an increase in soil carbon because of grazing and about 60% showed a decrease or no response to grazing. The impact of grazing on ecosystem processes is stimulated by way of the volume of the removal of photosynthetic biomass (defoliation), which is determined in element through grazing intensity; treading and trampling and fecal and
urine depositions (Heitschmidt et al., 2004).

The quantity of defoliation relies upon plant morphology, production levels, and the provision of water and nutrients. Repeated grazing reduces plant increase and productiveness, whereas mild-to-moderate tiers motive suppression of growth with occasional production enhancement (Green & Detling, 2000). Selective defoliation modifies species composition, which often affects in low productivity and undesirable plant compositions. Trampling and treading compact the soil surface hence increases the soil bulk density while hoof motion deteriorates soil aggregate stability. Adverse changes in soil physical residences may also purpose a decline in water infiltration and root increase. The addition of nutrients within the form of fecal and urine affects the soil biogeochemical methods. Altogether, grazing has the capability to steer rangeland carbon dynamics through changing plant litter chemistry (Neff et al., 2009), plant biomass allocation patterns clutter production, and the spatial distribution of nutrients (Grayston et al., 1997). Relying on the intensity, grazing strain can also lead to gradual decomposition by way of reducing plant muddle carbon to nitrogen (C: N) ratio, or due to reduced standing biomass, can also boost up the decomposition via increasing soil temperature (Ingram et al., 2008)

Regardless of the above noted grazing effect on rangeland carbon dynamics, most research results showed a wide variation ranging from positive to negative to no response. (Gill, 2007) evaluated the impact of ninety years of protection from grazing on carbon dynamics in subalpine rangeland and mentioned that farm animals grazing had no significant impacts on general soil carbon or particulate organic matter, however active soil carbon content increased. The loss of carbon from the active carbon pool become higher in grazed plots (4.6% of general carbon) than in ungrazed plots (3.3% of general carbon). Those effects suggest that grazing can also convert the recalcitrant carbon pool into easily mineralizable carbon fraction. The exclusion of grazing prompted an increase in annual forbs and grasses missing in dense fibrous rooting structure conducive to soil organic matter formation and accumulation (Reeder & Schuman, 2002). Similarly, other scientists have reported the various impacts of grazing management on rangeland soil organic matter, for instance, a study by (Conant et al., 2003) in pastures of Virginia, USA, found that soil organic carbon averaged 8.4 mg c ha\(^{-1}\) more under intensive management or light rotation grazing than considerably grazed or hayed sites. (Naeth et al., 1991) reported a negative impact on soil organic carbon in heavy intensity or early season grazing, compared to mild depth or overdue season grazing in the grasslands of Alberta, Canada. Heavy grazing resulted in vast discounts in height of status and fallen clutter, and a decrease in stay vegetative cover and natural matter mass.

Furthermore, the vertical distribution Soil carbon storage is rarely estimated at the natural landscapes (Y. Wang et al., 2010). How much carbon is underestimated in global budgets below the first meter is surely a bigger question mark (Batjes, 1996) stimulates a 60% increase in the global Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) storage with depth extended to 2.0 m. A recent estimate of SOC storages has reported with a 56% increase at global level when the third meter of soil was also included (Jobbágy & Jackson, 2000). An increasing understanding of the importance of deep soil carbon is reflected in the mounting global estimates of soil carbon storages (Veldkamp et al., 2003). The biomes with the most SOC at 1–3 m depth were tropical evergreen forests and tropical
Soil organic ... /146

grasslands/savannas (Jobbágy & Jackson, 2000). Soil C pool that remains poorly understood is its vertical distribution, especially the differences of this vertical distribution across management types. Most previous studies on soil carbon storage have been focused on upper layer, although deeper profile was known to be important in soil carbon storage (Mi et al., 2008). Although the effects of grazing impact on soil C storage and greenhouse gas emissions have been extensively studied in a wide range of ecosystems worldwide (Pelster et al., 2015), there is no existing literature on studies done to examine the linkage between SOC storage and grazing systems in the southern rangelands of Kenya. Understanding the effects of livestock grazing management systems on SOC stocks is of essence for rational utilization of grassland. Therefore, we investigate the influence of three different grazing management systems (continual and rotational grazing system and an ungrazed area) on SOC.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted in Yaoni ranch located in Makueni County, approximately 125 km southeast of Nairobi, Kenya (Fig. 1). The county borders several counties which include Kajiado to the West, Taita Taveta to the South, Kitui to the East and Machakos to the North. It lies between Latitude 1°35’ and 30°00’ South and Longitude 37°10’ and 38°30´ east.

The area lies at an altitude of between 1200-1400 m above sea level and receives bimodal rainfall with long rains falling between the months of March to May and short rains in October to December. Total annual rainfall is ranged 400 to 600mm. In between the rainy seasons, the area experiences intervening dry spells in January/February as well as July to September.

The county is largely semi-arid and usually prone to frequent droughts with respect to annual rainfall. The study site falls under agro-ecological zone IV and V (Jaetzold et al., 2006) In terms of agro-ecological potential,(Jaetzold et al., 2006) classifies the area as a ranching zone naturally suited for extensive livestock production and wildlife.

The terrain is characterized by plains to the North, undulating hills to the South. The geology of the study area is characterized by relatively deep over-burden, with very few exposures of the underlying basement rock. The basement system are crystalline rocks of pre-cambrian age often occurring as fine–grained schists and course gneisses, that have been invaded by pink quartzofeldspathic pegmatites. The soils are highly varied, dominated by sandy soils punctuated with vertisols, acriosols and cambisols. The natural vegetation of the study area consists of Themeda triandra, a tufted perennial grass species that is preferred by grazers, and Themeda – Balanites or Themeda – Acacia wooded grassland (Kinyua et al., 2000).
Experimental design
The experimental design was Completely Randomized Design (CRD) involving two grazing systems and ungrazed area: continuous grazing, rotational grazing and ungrazed area (control). This research site was on a commercial grazing ranch, which a section of it was converted from continuous grazing into rotational grazing and has been under rotational grazing for 11 years during the time the research was conducted. Within the same ranch, there was a section with similar geomorphology and soils as the rotationally grazed which was not converted and has been continuously grazed for over 30 years to represent the continuous grazing system. Under rotational grazing, a large herd of livestock is moved between paddocks for short periods of time. These periods of grazing are considerably shorter than the rest durations for cattle. A general recommendation suggests 30–90 days for the rest durations, which shortens during rapid plant growth and lengthens as plant growth slows (Gillen et al., 1991). Such flexibility in rest duration is also the case for grazing periods and stocking rates, therefore livestock could be moved between nine paddocks at any time depending on grass growth rate and feed on offer, which was actually the case in our study area. The ungrazed area consist of an abandon section of the ranch for more than 30 years due to a deep gully which was formed by gully erosion creating an isolated area inaccessible by livestock as shown in (Fig. 1).

Sampling method and soil analysis
Nine representative spots per grazing system were randomly selected. For each spot, a soil profile was dug using pick axe and a shovel. Thereafter, soil was sampled at intervals of 0–10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-60, 60-90 and 90-120 cm depth using a soil auger. The difference between soil sampling depths is because the upper layer between 0-30 cm is more dynamic with respect to soil microbial activities which can be influenced by grazing as compared to the deeper depths along the soil profile. In each plot, two soil samples were mixed to a composite soil sample per respective depths. A total of 108 (two samples per depth) samples were collected in each grazing system,
which were composited into 54 Samples per grazing system. The composited samples were packed in a well level polythene bags for transportation. In addition, undisturbed soil samples for each depth were collected using core rings with a defined volume (100 cm$^3$) from each sampling plot for bulk density determination.

Samples were brought to ILRI Mazingira Centre in an airtight bag for analysis. The soil samples were air-dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. In order to determine soil total C and N content, 20 g of sieved soils were dried at 40°C for 48hrs and thereafter ground with a hammer mill (RetschMM400Mixer Mill, Retsch GmbH, Germany). A 20g subsample was then analysed for C and N concentrations using a high-temperature oxidative combustion system (Elementar Vario Max Cube). The bulk density for each depth was estimated by core method (Blake, 1965).

Soil carbon stocks were calculated following (Equation 1):

$$SOC_{stock} = C \times BD \times D$$ (Eq.1)

Where:
- C= carbon concentration (%)
- BD= bulk density (gcm$^{-3}$)
- D= the respective soil depth (m)

SOC is the soil organic carbon stock (Mg C ha$^{-1}$)

SOC is the soil organic carbon concentration (%), which is then converted, to g C g$^{-1}$ soil.

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine if the measured soil organic stocks were significantly different among the grazing regimes. Significant differences for the analysis of variance were accepted at P<0.05. Tukey’s HSD post hoc was used to separate means of the measured soil attributes under the various grazing treatments.

Results

The results for bulk densities along the soil profile and across the different grazing management system is shown in Table 1. Along the soil profile, we observed a significant difference (p≤0.05) in soil bulk density up to 30 cm depth with continuously grazed site showing the highest values followed by rotationally grazed area and ungrazed, respectively. But, there was no significant difference in bulk density at the lower across the management regimes (Table 1).

Table 1. Soil bulk density (gcm$^{-3}$) across grazing management systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Ungrazed</th>
<th>Rotational</th>
<th>Continuous</th>
<th>CV%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1.22±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.45±0.01 b</td>
<td>1.57±0.02 c</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>1.17±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.38±0.04 b</td>
<td>1.46±0.04 c</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>1.13±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.24±0.01 b</td>
<td>1.28±0.03 c</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>1.06±0.03 a</td>
<td>1.14±0.08 a</td>
<td>1.22±0.03 a</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-90</td>
<td>1.08±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.10±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.14±0.24 a</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-120</td>
<td>1.07±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.08±0.02 a</td>
<td>1.18±0.06 b</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters within the row are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05)

SOC concentrations for the 10-20 and 20-30 cm soil depths compared to continual grazing system (control). For other soil depths the difference in SOC concentration was not statistically different (p<0.05) (Fig. 2).
Fig. 2. Soil organic carbon concentrations (%) across different grazing management system. Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05).

The SOC stocks results are presented in Table 2. The ungrazed site showed higher SOC stocks than both rotational and continued grazed sites from 20 to 120 cm. Similarly, the rotationally grazed site showed higher SOC stocks for 90-120 cm sampled soil depths compared to continual grazing system (Table 2).

Table 2. Means +SE of Soil organic carbon stocks (MgC/ha⁻¹) across different grazing management systems along the soil profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Continual grazing</th>
<th>Rotational grazing</th>
<th>Ungrazed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>18.66±2.82 a</td>
<td>20.90±1.83 a</td>
<td>20.95±1.43 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-20</td>
<td>14.95±2.00 a</td>
<td>18.85±0.51 a</td>
<td>19.42±1.65 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>11.97±2.41 a</td>
<td>13.67±2.11 ab</td>
<td>15.93±4.73 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>26.79±2.37 a</td>
<td>28.63±2.52 a</td>
<td>38.76±3.57 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-90</td>
<td>21.10±2.37 a</td>
<td>23.14±2.87 a</td>
<td>33.47±2.82 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-120</td>
<td>17.05±0.57 a</td>
<td>22.03±1.65 b</td>
<td>28.60±2.04 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different letters within the row are significantly different (P ≤ 0.05)

Discussion
Animal trampling may have a severe effect on soil compaction and bulk densities (Medina-Roldán et al., 2012; Sanjari et al., 2008; Su & Lin Zhao, 2003). The continuous grazed rangelands were mostly compacted. Similar effects have been observed for other grassland ecosystems (Daniel et al., 2002; Savadogo et al., 2007; Stavi et al., 2008; Wei et al., 2011), and also (Steffens et al., 2008) monitored significant higher bulk densities in a semi-arid steppe in heavily grazed plots compared with ungrazed or only winter-grazed plots. In our study, the low bulk density in the ungrazed area can be attributed to the lack of grazing disturbance from livestock, while the lower bulk densities in rotational grazing compared to continual grazing sites can be attributed to the minimum livestock impact.
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(Tuffour et al., 2014) and loafing (Q. Wang & Batkhishig, 2014) due to short duration grazing that gives maximum rest to the grazed area. The higher soil bulk density in continuous grazing sites, on the other hand, is probably a result of soil compaction due to continuous grazing (Curran Cournane, 2010; Wolf, 2011). According to the (Li et al., 2008) long-term solutions to bulk density and soil compaction problems revolve around the reduction of soil disturbances and increasing organic matter content. (Igwe, 2005) found that areas grazed continuously exhibited higher bulk density than the areas under moderate grazing in south eastern Nigeria. This finding was attributed to consistent animal trampling that increases soil compaction.

The above results (Fig. 2) showed that continual grazing depresses SOC content and storage which is in accordance with some previous studies (Snyman & Du Preez, 2005; Wu et al., 2010). The observed low carbon content under the continual grazing system can be due to the high compaction of the Soil which reduces water infiltration and will increase runoff, thus decreasing available water for plant growth (Abel & Blaikie, 1989; Savadogo et al., 2007). Furthermore, less pore space limits gas exchange and cut back root growth. The two mechanisms counsel that soil compaction reduces plant production and thus SOC storage.

The difference in SOC content and stocks across the grazing systems can also be attributed to the difference in the herbaceous vegetation cover. The higher aboveground standing biomass under rotational grazing and ungrazed sites significantly reduced loss of organic matter and nutrients from the soil-plant system through soil erosion. Also, more stubble biomass is expected under rotational grazing sites than continual grazing sites, which means a conversion of the atmospheric carbon through the process of photosynthesis into carbon and nitrogen compounds that are returned to the soil through litter fall and dead plant materials. The higher SOC content stocks in the rotational grazing management system can therefore be attributed to increased belowground biomass.

The observed high carbon concentration and stocks can also be due to better microclimates in rotational grazing and ungrazed sites that results from adequate herbaceous cover and woody vegetation respectively which reduces the soil temperatures and evapotranspiration rates. The low herbaceous plant cover under the continual grazing system as a result of high grazing pressure exposes soils to sun rays leading to increased soil temperatures and evapotranspiration rates hence increases the decomposition of organic matter resulting in higher losses of carbon from the soil (Tom et al., 2006). (Ritchie et al., 2012) in her study on soil carbon dynamics, in the northern rangelands of Kenya reported that prolonged, heavy continuous grazing depleted most of the soil organic pools, resulting in bare ground and increased soil erosion that reduces productivity of the range. Also (J. D. Derner et al., 2006) observed that continuous heavy grazing decreases both the aboveground litter deposition and belowground carbon allocation which may be attributed to the low C and N observed in the continually grazed sites. (Sanjari et al., 2008) in his study carried out in semi-arid rangelands of South Africa, pointed out that, a relative increase in soil organic matter under time controlled grazing as opposed to under continuous grazing was and attributed to higher rates of grass growth and rest periods that lead to an increase in litter accumulation and subsequent increase of extra 1.37 ton/ha carbon in the top 10 cm of the soil under time rotational grazing compared with the continuous grazing. This observed results confirms that adequate rest periods in the
Rotational grazing system sites are important in giving the grazed plants an amble recovery time/period which increases the above ground organic matter and its incorporation to increase the soil organic matter pool. (Reeder & Schuman, 2002) in their study found similar results with areas that were under low/ slightly grazed having more soil organic carbon than areas that the heavily grazed areas. They argued that the observed increase in SOC was because of the increase in the rates of nutrient cycling, annual shoot turnover and altered plant species composition. In a study by (Han et al., 2008) on the effects of grazing intensity on soil carbon in Mongolia, they reported less organic carbon in areas with high grazing intensity than the areas under low grazing intensities and attributed the results to high net primary production under the light grazing intensities.

Furthermore, the presence of deep-rooted plants (trees) that gradually decompose when plant dies in combination with leaf litter decomposition may have contributed to high SOC in ungrazed and rotationally grazed area than in continually grazed area. A few shrubs, grasses and herbs with shallow roots contribute to annual litter deposition that is also suppressed by herbivores and this resulted into low SOC accumulation in the area under continual grazing.

In contrast to the findings of this study, (Ingram et al., 2008) reported that areas under continuous heavy grazing had more organic carbon stocks than areas that were lightly grazed, because of the higher root mass they observed in areas under high grazing pressure. (Piñeiro et al., 2010) in his review of different on the effect of grazing on soil organic carbon revealed divergent results where grazing increased SOC while in some instances, it reduced or had no influence on SOC. The results of this study show that grazing animals may affect soil organic carbon by altering soil organic matter that affects the nitrogen cycling, net primary production and decomposition which in turn affects the amount of nitrogen and carbon available in the soil. Derner and Schuman (2007) in their study on the potential of rangelands in sequestrating carbon, noted that grazing usually increases carbon storage on C4 dominated grasslands. A high rate of soil organic matter decomposition is partly the reason why areas that are under intense grazing involving excessive trampling have a low SOC. However, these results contradict that of (Liebig et al., 2006) who found that SOC in the surface soil of heavily grazed pasture was greater than that of moderately grazed pasture in the great plains of Northern America a scenario which was attributed to the redistribution of dung and urine.

**Conclusions**

Areas under rotational grazing showed higher soil organic carbon concentration and stocks and low soil bulk density along the soil profile up to depth 1.2m than those under continual grazing management. These shows, that the success of all grazing systems when constrained by similar ecological variables, the difference in their performance with respect to their impact on soil organic carbon is as a result of the effectiveness and the efficiency with which the grazing management practices are used rather than ecological variables.
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مقدار کربن آلی خاک و رابطه بین میزان آن و مدیریت چرا در مراتع نیمه خشک کنیا

چکیده: مراتع کنیا حدود 85 درصد از اراضی کشور را پوشش می‌دهد و منبع اصلی برای دامداری است که پاسخگو قابل توجهی برای مقابله با تغییرات آب و هوایی دارد. با این حال، این مناطق تحت تأثیر مدیریت و فشارهای مختلف قرار گرفته است. مطالعه حاضر با هدف تعیین تأثیر سیستم‌های مدیریت چرا (چراخورشید، مداوم و بدون چرا) بر دخانی کربن آلی خاک در مراتع تجارتی بوتی، واقع در مراتع جنوب کنیا در سال 1395 (1995) انجام شد. این مطالعه تحت مدیریت چراز مداوم و بخشی به چراز مقایسه انجام شد. نتایج نشان داد که مداوم و بخشی به چراز مقایسه مداوم کردن آلی خاک رتبه ریثتر رود که این موضوع شاید ره دلیل مختلف قرار دارد که ریی تغییرات سانتی‌متری در عمق 1/2 متبرداشت شدند. از عمق‌های 10-30، 30-60، 60-90، 90-120 و 120-سانتی‌متری نمونه‌های خاک جمع‌آوری شدند. تفاوت بین عمق نمونه‌برداری از خاک به این دلیل است که در لایه بالایی یعنی بین 0 تا 30 سانتی‌متر فعالیت‌های میکرو‌بیوی خاک بیشتر است که می‌تواند تحت تأثیر چراز بیشتر در مقایسه با قسمت‌های عمیق خاک چراز گردد. نتایج نشان داد که در تمام لایه‌های خاک تا عمق 1/2 متبرداشت میزان گلثه‌های قرار چراز مداوم و چراز چراز نشان می‌دهد. همچنین در تیمار با چراز چراخورشید، گلثه کربن آلی خاک در قسمت‌های عمیق در مقایسه با سیستم‌های چراز مداوم بیشتر بود که این موضوع شاید به دلیل اثرات مدیریت چراخورشید باشد.
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85 درصد از اراضی کشور را پوشش می‌دهد. خاک می‌تواند دلیل اصلی برای دامداری است که پاسخگو در مقابله با تغییرات آب و هوایی دارد. با این حال، این مناطق تحت تأثیر مدیریت و فشارهای مختلف قرار گرفته است. مطالعه حاضر با هدف تعیین تأثیر سیستم‌های مدیریت چرا (چراخورشید، مداوم و بدون چرا) بر دخانی کربن آلی خاک در مراتع تجارتی بوتی، واقع در مراتع جنوب کنیا در سال 1395 (1995) انجام شد. این مطالعه تحت مدیریت چراز مداوم و بخشی به چراز مقایسه انجام شد. نتایج نشان داد که مداوم و بخشی به چراز مقایسه مداوم کردن آلی خاک رتبه ریثتر رود که این موضوع شاید ره دلیل مختلف قرار دارد که ریی تغییرات سانتی‌متری در عمق 1/2 متبرداشت شدند. از عمق‌های 10-30، 30-60، 60-90، 90-120 و 120-سانتی‌متری نمونه‌های خاک جمع‌آوری شدند. تفاوت بین عمق نمونه‌برداری از خاک به این دلیل است که در لایه بالایی یعنی بین 0 تا 30 سانتی‌متر فعالیت‌های میکرو‌بیوی خاک بیشتر است که می‌تواند تحت تأثیر چراز بیشتر در مقایسه با قسمت‌های عمیق خاک چراز گردد. نتایج نشان داد که در تمام لایه‌های خاک تا عمق 1/2 متبرداشت میزان گلثه‌های قرار چراز مداوم و چراز چراز نشان می‌دهد. همچنین در تیمار با چراز چراخورشید، گلثه کربن آلی خاک در قسمت‌های عمیق در مقایسه با سیستم‌های چراز مداوم بیشتر بود که این موضوع شاید به دلیل اثرات مدیریت چراخورشید باشد.